# CS221 Problem Workout 

Week 4

## Key Takeaways from this Week

We formalize a Markov Decision Process or MDP for short as:

- States: States $S$ with starting state $s_{\text {start }} \in S$.
- Termination State: isEnd $(s)$.
- Actions: $a \in A(s)$, all possible actions at state $s$.
- Rewards: $R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)$, the reward of going from state $s$ to $s^{\prime}$ by taking action $a$.
- Transitions: $T\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)$, the probability of going from state $s$ to $s^{\prime}$ by taking action $a$.
- Discount: $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, the discount factor (default 1 ) for computing utility.
$V_{\pi}(s)$ is the expected utility received by following policy $\pi$ from state $s$.
$Q_{\pi}(s, a)$ is the expected utility of taking action $a$ from state $s$, and then following policy $\pi$.
The following are off-policy algorithms that output the optimal Q-value, $Q_{\text {opt }}$ :
- Value Iteration: $V_{\text {opt }}^{(t)}(s) \leftarrow \max _{a \in A(s)} Q_{\text {opt }}^{(t-1)}(s, a)$.
- Model-Based Value Iteration: Estimate $T$ and $R$ using Monte Carlo, then run value iteration using estimates $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{R}$.
- Q-Learning: Estimate $\hat{Q}_{\text {opt }}(s, a)$ based on (i) the reward to state $s^{\prime}$ and (ii) the estimated optimal max value of $s^{\prime}$.

The following are on-policy algorithms that output the Q-value, $Q_{\pi}$, of a specific policy:

- Policy Iteration: $V_{\pi}^{(t)}(s) \leftarrow Q_{\pi}^{(t-1)}(s, \pi(s))$.
- Model-Free Monte Carlo: Estimate $\hat{Q}_{\pi}(s, a)$ from the utility, $u_{t}$, along the path.
- SARSA: Estimate $\hat{Q}_{\pi}(s, a)$ based on (i) the update ( $s, a, r, s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}$ ) and (ii) the estimated $\hat{Q}_{\pi}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$.

| Algorithm | Estimating | Based On |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model-Based Monte Carlo | $\hat{Q}_{\text {opt }}$ | $s_{0}, a_{1}, r_{1}, s_{1}, a_{2}, r_{2}, s_{2}, \ldots \Longrightarrow \hat{T}, \hat{R}$ |
| Q-Learning | $\hat{Q}_{\text {opt }}$ | $\left(s, a, r, s^{\prime}\right), \hat{V}_{\text {opt }}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ |
| Model-Free Monte Carlo | $\hat{Q}_{\pi}$ | $u_{t}=r_{t}+\gamma r_{t+1}+\gamma^{2} r_{t+2}+\ldots$ |
| SARSA | $\hat{Q}_{\pi}$ | $\left(s, a, r, s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right), \hat{Q}_{\pi}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$ |

## 1) Problem 1: MDP for Riding the Bus

(a) Sabina wants to go from their house (located at location 1) to the gym (located at location $n$ ). At each location $s$, Sabina can either (i) deterministically walk forward to the next location $s+1$ (takes 1 unit of time) or (ii) wait for the bus. The bus comes with probability $\epsilon$, in which case, it will take Sabina to the gym in $1+\alpha(n-s)$ units of time, where $\alpha$ is some parameter. If the bus doesn't come, then Sabina stays put waiting for nothing, and that takes 1 unit of time.
Let our reward be negative time, which is equivalent to minimizing the time it takes to get to the gym.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | Gym |

We have formalized the problem as an MDP for you:

- State: $s \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is Sabina's location
- $\operatorname{Actions}(s)=\{$ Walk, Bus $\}$
- Reward $\left(s\right.$, Walk, $\left.s^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } s^{\prime}=s+1 \\ -\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- Reward $\left(s\right.$, Bus, $\left.s^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}-1-\alpha(n-s) & \text { if } s^{\prime}=n \\ -1 & \text { if } s^{\prime}=s \\ -\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- $T\left(s^{\prime} \mid s\right.$, Walk $)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } s^{\prime}=s+1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- $T\left(s^{\prime} \mid s\right.$, Bus $)= \begin{cases}\epsilon & \text { if } s^{\prime}=n \\ 1-\epsilon & \text { if } s^{\prime}=s \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
- $\operatorname{IsEnd}(s)=\mathbf{1}[s=n]$


## BEFORE YOU MOVE FORWARD: Make sure you understand why the MDP is formulated the way it is!

Compute closed form expressions for (i) the value of a policy where Sabina always walks at every location and (ii) the value of a policy where Sabina always waits for the bus at every location (using some or all of the variables $\epsilon, \alpha, n$ ). Assume a discount rate of $\gamma=1$.

- $V_{\text {Walk }}(s)=$
- $V_{\mathrm{Bus}}(s)=$ $\qquad$

For what values of $\epsilon$ (as a function of $\alpha$ and $n$ ) is it advantageous to walk rather than take the bus?
(b) Unfortunately, Sabina's town is unable to provide transition probabilities or a reward function (i.e. a bus schedule), making the above MDP possibly (and likely) inaccurate. To get around this, Sabina decides to use reinforcement learning, specifically Q-learning to determine the best policy. Sabina starts going around town both by bus and by walking, recording the following data:

| $s_{0}$ | $a_{1}$ | $r_{1}$ | $s_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $r_{2}$ | $s_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $r_{3}$ | $s_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $r_{4}$ | $s_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $r_{5}$ | $s_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bus | -1 | 1 | Bus | -1 | 1 | Bus | 3 | 3 | Walk | 1 | 4 | Walk | 1 | 5 |

Run the Q-learning algorithm once over the given data to compute an estimate of the optimal Q-value $Q_{\mathrm{opt}}(s, a)$. Process the episodes from left to right. Assume all Q-values are initialized to zero, and use a learning rate of $\eta=0.5$ and a discount of $\gamma=1$.

- $\hat{Q}(1$, Walk $)=$ $\qquad$
- $\hat{Q}(1$, Bus $)=$ $\qquad$
- $\hat{Q}(3$, Walk $)=$ $\qquad$
- $\hat{Q}(3$, Bus $)=$ $\qquad$
- $\hat{Q}(4$, Walk $)=$ $\qquad$
- $\hat{Q}(4$, Bus $)=$ $\qquad$


## 2) Problem 2: Choosing an Algorithm

For each of the following questions, choose one or more of the algorithm(s) below to solve the given problem.

- Model-Based Monte Carlo.
- Q-Learning
- Model-Free Monte Carlo.
- SARSA
(a) You work in a chemistry lab that is conducting some extremely expensive experiments. Unfortunately, sometimes the actions you take cause non-deterministic outcomes (due to unobservable factors), and your chemical reaction transitions to a different state randomly. Your team would like to figure out the best course of action that'll most likely finish the experiments without fail, but you don't have enough budget for lots of trials. Fortunately, the number of states and possible actions are relatively small, and you have detailed notes on data from many past experiments.
(b) Your roommate seems to win a lot of money playing poker against their friends. Based on what you know about them, you've got some ideas on what strategies you can use to possibly beat them. But, you're concerned that if you tailor your strategy to your roommate's specific playstyle, then you'll lose to their friends in the crossfire. You decide to build a poker bot to test your strategies against random players online. You choose your reward to be how much you win at the end of a round.
(c) You decide to foster a cat from the local Humane Society. Unfortunately, the cat is quite skittish and really likes the dark. As such, he won't get out from under your bed. You've assembled an arsenal of treats, toys, and trinkets to try and lure him out. Some things seem to pique his interest, but he won't seem to come out. You're pretty sure that presenting him with the right order of items at the right time of day might convince him to come out.


## 3) [optional] Problem 3: Another MDP

You're programming a self-driving car that can take you from home (position 1) to school (position $n$ ). At each time step, the car has a current position $x \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and a current velocity $v \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. The car starts with $v=0$, and at each time step, the car can either increase the velocity by 1 , decrease it by 1 , or keep it the same; this new velocity is used to advance $x$ to the new position. The velocity is not allowed to exceed the speed limit $m$ nor return to 0 .

In addition, to prevent people from recklessly cruising down Serra Mall, the university has installed speed bumps at a subset of the $n$ locations. The speed bumps are located at $B \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The car is not allowed to enter, leave, or pass over a speed bump with velocity more than $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Your goal is to arrive at position $\boldsymbol{n}$ with velocity 1 in the smallest number of time steps.
Figure 1 shows an example with $n=9$ positions and one speed bump $B=\{5\}$. If the maximum speed is $m=3$ and $k=1$ for a speed bump, then an example of a legal path is the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1,0) \xrightarrow{+1}(2,1) \xrightarrow{+1}(4,2) \xrightarrow{-1}(5,1) \xrightarrow{0}(6,1) \xrightarrow{+1}(8,2) \xrightarrow{-1}(9,1) \\
\begin{array}{c|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
x=1 \\
\text { home }
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
x=2
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 1: An example of a legal path that takes 6 time steps with $m=3$ and $k=1$. We show the position-velocity pairs $(x, v)$ at each time step, and each number above an arrow is an acceleration (change in velocity).
(a) It turns out that you were so excited about the AI algorithms that you didn't really pay much attention to the brakes of the car. As a result, when you try to decrease the velocity by 1 , with some failure probability $\alpha$, the velocity actually stays the same.
To simplify our lives, assume there are no speed bumps. Assume a reward of $R$ if we get to school (at a velocity of 1 ) but -1 if we pass the school, with a cost of 1 per time step.
Let us formulate the resulting problem as an MDP:

- $s_{\text {start }}=(1,0)$
- Actions $((x, v))=\{a \in\{+1,0,-1\}: x+v+a \leq n \wedge v+a \leq m \wedge(v+a \leq$ $k \vee\{x, \ldots, x+v+a\} \cap B=\emptyset)\}$.
Suppose we want to apply acceleration $a$. First, we want to make sure we don't exceed the school $(x+v+a \leq n)$ or go out of the velocity range $(v+a \leq m)$. Next, we want to make sure that we're not entering, passing
through, or leaving any speed bumps at a velocity greater than $k$. This is captured logically by ensuring a safe speed $(v+a \leq k)$ or checking that there are no speed bumps between $x$ and the new location $x+v+a$.
- $T\left(\left(x^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mid(x, v), a\right)=$ (to be filled out by you below)
- Reward $\left((x, v), a,\left(x^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right)=R \cdot \mathbf{1}\left[x^{\prime}=n \wedge v^{\prime}=1\right]-1$
- $\operatorname{IsEnd}((x, v))=\mathbf{1}[x \geq n]$
(i) Fill out the definition of the transition probabilities $T$ :

$$
T\left(\left(x^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \mid(x, v), a\right)=
$$

(ii) Let us explore the effect of unreliable brakes. Consider the example in Figure 2 below.

| $x=1$ <br> home | $x=2$ | $x=3$ | $x=4$ | $x=5$ <br> school |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure 2: A small driving environment without speed bumps.

Consider two policies:

- $\pi_{1}$ : always move with velocity 1 :

$$
\pi_{1}((1,0))=+1 \quad \pi_{1}((2,1))=0 \quad \pi_{1}((3,1))=0 \quad \pi_{1}((4,1))=0
$$

- $\pi_{2}$ : speed up and slow down:

$$
\pi_{2}((1,0))=+1 \quad \pi_{2}((2,1))=+1 \quad \pi_{2}((4,2))=-1 .
$$

Compute the expected utility of $\pi_{1}$ as a function of $\alpha$ and $R$ (with discount $\gamma=1$ ).

Compute the expected utility of $\pi_{2}$ as a function of $\alpha$ and $R$ (with discount $\gamma=1$ ).

For what values of $\alpha$ and $R$ does $\pi_{2}$ obtain higher expected reward than $\pi_{1}$ ? Your answer should be an expression relating $\alpha$ and $R$.
(b) Bad news: you realize that your brakes are not only faulty, but that you don't know how often they fail ( $\alpha$ is unknown). Circle all of the following algorithms that can be used to compute the optimal policy in this setting:

Model-Based Value Iteration Model-Free Monte Carlo SARSA Q-learning

